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#### Abstract

The low-temperature form of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{3} \operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ is monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$, with $a=8.963$ (7), $b=$ 15.644 (15), $c=9.131$ (6) $\AA, \beta=108.28$ (5) ${ }^{\circ}, Z=4$. Final $R=0.015$ for 1936 observed reflections. The In atoms have distorted octahedral coordination with an average $\mathrm{In}-\mathrm{O}$ distance of $2 \cdot 125 \AA$. $\mathrm{InO}_{6}$ octahedra are linked together by $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ tetrahedra to form infinite chains along $c$. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ions connect these chains. An extensive hydrogen-bond network is proposed. The arrangement of $\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ columns exhibits pseudotrigonal symmetry.


## Introduction

Numerous compounds of general formula $M_{3}^{\mathrm{I}} M^{\prime \text { III }}\left(X \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}$ with $X=\mathrm{S}$ or $\mathrm{Se} ; M^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{Rb}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{4}, \mathrm{Tl} ; M^{\prime \mathrm{ll}}=\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{In}, \mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Cr}$, rare earth, have been isolated and characterized. The most commonly adopted structure is of trigonal symmetry (Valkonen \& Niinistö, 1978) but some compounds have a monoclinic cell (Kudin, Efremov, Pokrovsky, Trunov \& Dertirev, 1977; Laplace \& Jolibois, 1979). During the investigation of $M_{2}^{1} \mathrm{SO}_{4}-M_{2}^{111}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ systems we have synthesized one compound $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{3} \operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ (Tudo, Tudo \& Perret, 1974) which exhibits a low-temperature reversible transition between monoclinic and trigonal forms. The slight modification observed on the powder diffractogram suggests only a small distortion between the two structures. This paper deals with the structural determination of the low-temperature monoclinic form.

## Experimental

Single crystals of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{In}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ were obtained as previously described (Laplace \& Jolibois, 1979).

The crystals are prismatic with hexagonal crosssection limited by the planes (103), (100), (130) and
(130). A crystal with dimensions 0.190 mm between the lateral faces and 0.390 mm between hexagonal sections (103) was selected for intensity collection. A Philips PW 1100 automated diffractometer at the University of Lille I with graphite-monochromated Mo Kar radiation was used for the data collection. Half of reciprocal space was explored to $\theta=25^{\circ}$. Of the 4561 reflections measured, 4036 were considered significant from the criterion $I>3 \sigma(I)$, where $\sigma(I)$ is the e.s.d. of the measured intensity. Three standard reflections were measured every two hours. The data were corrected for background, Lorentz and polarization effects. Absorption corrections were made (de Meulenaer \& Tompa, 1965); the transmission factor ranged from 0.65 to $0.69\left[\mu(\mathrm{Mo} K())=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right]$. Averaging of equivalent reflections led to the 1978 independent planes used in the structure determination.

## Structure determination and refinement

The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method. Two positions for In atoms were consistent with the Patterson map. For the two solutions, a Fourier map calculated with $\left(F_{o}-F_{\text {In }}\right)$ revealed the positions of all the $S$ atoms. The refinement of the In and $S$ coordinates permitted the elimination of one of the two solutions since $R$ was very different in the two cases: 0.246 and 0.319 ; furthermore, a ( $F_{o}-F_{\mathrm{In}+\mathrm{s}}$ ) synthesis revealed O and N atoms only in the case corresponding to $R=$ 0.246 . The coordinates and anisotropic temperature factors for all non-H atoms were refined. $R$ dropped to 0.022 . A difference synthesis at this stage revealed all the H atoms. Introduction of their coordinates with isotropic temperature factors led to $R=0.017$; however, the value of $B$ for $H(8)$ was too large ( $13 \AA^{2}$ ). 42 reflections with $\left|F_{o}-F_{c}\right|>3 \sigma\left\{\sigma=\left[\sum\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} /\left.N\right|^{1 / 2}\right\}$ were then rejected: $B$ of $\mathrm{H}(8)$ dropped to $6.6 \AA^{2}$; the final $R$ was 0.015 for the remaining reflections ( 0.017 if the 1978 initial reflections were included). The coordinates and thermal parameters are © 1980 International Union of Crystallography

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{5}$; for $\mathrm{H} \times 10^{3}$ ) and isotropic ( H ) or isotropic equivalent (In, $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}$ and N ) temperature factors

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\dot{\AA}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In | 21519 (2) | 25002 (1) | 22474 (2) | 0.9 |
| S(1) | 25436 (7) | 9155 (4) | 47552 (6) | $1 \cdot 1$ |
| S(2) | 44729 (7) | 34724 (4) | 53964 (6) | $1 \cdot 1$ |
| S(3) | -4120 (7) | 32909 (4) | 39422 (6) | $1 \cdot 2$ |
| O(1) | 17615 (23) | 1057 (12) | 47641 (22) | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 40834 (21) | 7958 (13) | 46155 (21) | 2.4 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 15451 (19) | 14349 (11) | 34323 (19) | 1.7 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 27239 (24) | 13703 (12) | 62235 (20) | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 38732 (32) | 42679 (12) | 46306 (22) | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| O (6) | 61420 (22) | 35057 (13) | 61423 (23) | $2 \cdot 7$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 37074 (27) | 32968 (14) | 65744 (23) | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 41130 (19) | 27590 (11) | 42505 (19) | 1.9 |
| O(9) | -6172 (29) | 41503 (13) | 44100 (27) | 3.7 |
| O(10) | 7426 (21) | 33220 (11) | 30978 (21) | $2 \cdot 1$ |
| O(11) | -18738 (23) | 29385 (17) | 29640 (23) | $3 \cdot 8$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 1589 (21) | 27205 (12) | 53042 (19) | $2 \cdot 2$ |
| N(1) | 87639 (30) | 2490 (17) | 21238 (30) | $2 \cdot 2$ |
| N(2) | 60214 (34) | 4200 (20) | 78375 (32) | 2.4 |
| N(3) | 69791 (30) | 17078 (16) | 47053 (29) | 1.8 |
| H(1) | 935 (6) | 39 (3) | 162 (6) | $5 \cdot 0(1 \cdot 3)$ |
| H(2) | 943 (7) | 18 (4) | 296 (7) | 4.7 (1.4) |
| H(3) | 790 (5) | 67 (3) | 182 (5) | 2.5 (0.9) |
| H(4) | 820 (7) | -25 (4) | 182 (7) | $6 \cdot 2$ (1.5) |
| H(5) | 593 (5) | 9 (3) | 712 (5) | $2 \cdot 3$ (1.0) |
| H(6) | 569 (6) | 22 (3) | 852 (6) | $3 \cdot 1$ (1.1) |
| H(7) | 684 (5) | 72 (3) | 819 (5) | 1.8 (0.8) |
| H(8) | 531 (8) | 73 (4) | 771 (7) | 6.6 (1.7) |
| H(9) | 742 (4) | 110 (3) | 481 (4) | $1 \cdot 8(0 \cdot 8)$ |
| H(10) | 599 (6) | 167 (3) | 447 (6) | 3.1 (1.2) |
| H(11) | 738 (4) | 196 (2) | 572 (5) | 1.5 (0.7) |
| H(12) | 731 (4) | 199 (2) | 398 (4) | $1 \cdot 5(0 \cdot 8)$ |

in Table 1.* Refinement was performed with SFLS-5 (Prewitt, 1966). The function minimized was $\sum\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\right.$ $\left.\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$. An extinction correction was applied of the form $F_{\text {corr }}^{2}=F_{c}^{2} /\left(1+S F_{o}^{2}\right)$, with a final $S$ of $0.52(2) \times$ $10^{-7}$. Scattering factors were from Cromer \& Waber (1965). Anomalous-dispersion corrections were made (Cromer \& Liberman, 1970).

## Description of the structure and discussion

The most significant bond lengths and angles in the In, S and N coordination polyhedra are listed in Table 2. In atoms are surrounded by six O atoms of six different sulfate groups (Fig. 1), forming a slightly deformed octahedron. The six In-O distances are close and the mean value ( $2.125 \pm 0.025 \AA$ ) is consistent with previously reported values (Johansson, 1961; Tudo, Jolibois, Laplace, Nowogrocki \& Abraham, 1979).

In the three crystallographically independent $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ tetrahedra, the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are distributed in two

[^0]groups: two long bonds (mean length $1.484 \pm 0.014$ $\AA$ ) with O atoms linked to In, and two shorter bonds (mean length $1.442 \pm 0.007 \AA$ ) with O not bonded to In (Fig. 1). Two $\mathrm{InO}_{6}$ octahedra are linked together by three $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ tetrahedra to form infinite chains along $c$

Table 2. Principal distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ in $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{3} \operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$
E.s.d.'s are in parentheses. The symmetry code (i) stands for $x$, $\frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$.

Indium octahedron

| In-O(3) | $2 \cdot 148(2)$ | In-O(8) | $2 \cdot 141(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In-O(4) | $2 \cdot 135(2)$ | In-O(10) | $2 \cdot 113(2)$ |
| In-O(7) | $2 \cdot 101(2)$ | In-O(12) | $2 \cdot 114(2)$ |

Sulfate tetrahedra

| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.449(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $111.5(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.438(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $108 \cdot 1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.497(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $109.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.481(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $110.3(2)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $107.9(3)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $109.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.446(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $111.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $1.437(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $109.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $1.472(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $109.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $1.494(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $108.4(3)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $108 \cdot 8(2)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $108.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $1.440(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(9)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | $107.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | $1.473(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(9)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $111.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $1.444(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(9)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $110.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $1.485(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(10)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $109.8(3)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(10)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $110.1(3)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $107.2(2)$ |

## Ammonium ions

| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $0.83(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | $1.02(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | $0.82(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | $0.85(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $0.99(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $0.97(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $0.93(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $0.92(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | $0.81(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $0.84(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $0.84(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $0.79(6)$ |



Fig. 1. Coordination polyhedra around the In and S atoms. The symmetry codes (i) and (ii) stand for $x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$ and $x, \frac{1}{2}-y$, $z-\frac{1}{2}$ respectively.
(Fig. 2). Each sulfate shares two O atoms with In polyhedra. Such columns were found in triammonium scandium selenate, $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sc}\left(\mathrm{SeO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ (Valkonen \& Niinistö, 1978), but the orientations of two consecutive octahedra along the column are different.

The inaccuracy of the H coordinates precludes an assessment of the regularity of the $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$tetrahedra. However, the mean $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ distance of $0.88 \AA[0.87$, 0.82 and $0.94 \AA$ for $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{N}(3)$ respectively] is acceptable.
The $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ions link together the columns previously described. An extensive hydrogen-bond network can be proposed, the characteristics of which are summarized


Fig. 2. Linking of $\mathrm{InO}_{6}$ octahedra and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ tetrahedra to form the $\left[\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}^{3-}\right]_{\infty}$ column along $c$.

Table 3. Characteristics of hydrogen bonds
The notation $\mathrm{O}(n)_{p q r}^{x}$ represents atom $\mathrm{O}(n)$ to which the symmetry $x$ has been applied, followed by a translation of $p \mathbf{a}+q \mathbf{b}+r \mathbf{c}$. Symmetry code: (i) $x, y, z$; (ii) $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$; (iii) $\bar{x}, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (iv) $x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ <br> (A) $(\mathrm{A})$ <br> (e.s.d. (e.s.d. <br> $0.002 \AA)$ $0.06 \AA$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O} \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \\ (\text { (e.s.d. } \\ \left.3-4^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{O}(9)^{10 \mathrm{i}}$ | $2.862 \quad 2.154$ | 143 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{O}(9)_{11 \mathrm{II}}^{\text {in }}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 3.022 & 2.567 \\ {\left[\mathrm{O}(9)_{101}^{110}-\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{O}(9)_{110}^{111}\right.} \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 116 \\ 77 \end{array}\right]$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)_{100}^{1}$ | $3.003 \quad 2.221$ | 160 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(3)-\mathrm{O}(6)_{00 \mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{iv}}$ | $2.966 \quad 1.977$ | 178 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(4)-\mathrm{O}(5)_{1 i 1 \mathrm{il}}^{\text {ii }}$ | $2.852 \quad 2.052$ | 143 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(4)-\mathrm{O}(10)_{\text {1i0 }}^{\text {Hio }}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 3.063 & 2.413 \\ {\left[\mathrm{O}(5)_{110}^{i 11}-\mathrm{H}(4)-\mathrm{O}(10)_{110}^{: 1 i 10}\right.} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 127 \\ 87 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(5)-\mathrm{O}(2)_{101}^{11}$ | $2.917 \quad 2.107$ | 174 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(6)-\mathrm{O}(5)^{1 \mathrm{liI}}$ | $2.902 \quad 2.187$ | 146 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(6)-\mathrm{O}(5)_{000}^{1 / 0}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 2.933 & 2.313 \\ {\left[\mathrm{O}(5)_{1 i 1}^{1 i i}-\mathrm{H}(6)-\mathrm{O}(5)_{000}^{1 v}\right.} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 \\ 83] \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(7)-\mathrm{O}(9)_{100}^{\mathrm{iv}}$ | $2.979 \quad 2.212$ | 151 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(7)-\mathrm{O}(11)_{100}^{1 / 2}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 3.167 & 2.431 \\ {\left[\mathrm{O}(9)_{100}^{\mathrm{lv}}-\mathrm{H}(7)-\mathrm{O}(11)_{100}^{\mathrm{tv}}\right.} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ 62] \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(9)-\mathrm{O}(1)_{101}^{\mathrm{H}}$ | $3.036 \quad 2.016$ | 174 |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(10)-\mathrm{O}(2)_{000}^{i}$ | $2.940 \quad 2.225$ | 142 |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(10)-\mathrm{O}(8){ }_{000}^{\mathrm{l}}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 2.968 & 2.359 \\ {\left[\mathrm{O}(2)_{000}^{{ }_{00}}-\mathrm{H}(10)-\mathrm{O}(8)_{000}^{\prime}\right.} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 129 \\ 85] \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(11)-\mathrm{O}(11)_{\text {livo }}^{\mathrm{lv}}$ | $2.880 \quad 1.952$ | 161 |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{O}(11)_{100}^{1}$ | $2.884 \quad 2.001$ | 160 |



Fig. 3. Packing of the $\left|\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}^{3-}\right|_{\infty}$ columns viewed along $c$ showing the pseudo-trigonal symmetry.
in Table 3. Some of the H atoms seem to participate in bifurcated bonds. However, the presence of this hydrogen-bond network does not exclude the existence of electrostatic interactions between the large $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ cations and the O atoms not involved in the column formation.

The arrangement of the $\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ chains is shown in Fig. 3. For clarity, only N positions have been represented for $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ions.

It is obvious in Fig. 3 that an $\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ column exhibits pseudo-trigonal symmetry and that the neighboring columns form a pseudo-regular-hexagonal arrangement; this fact is probably related to the low-temperature transition from monoclinic to trigonal symmetry. The structure of the high-temperature form is presently under investigation.
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[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 35342 ( 15 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

